FILE COPY

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUN 12 1959
JAMES R. BROWNING, Clerk

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER T[redacted]

No. [redacted] 114

JOSEPH HIRAM HOLT, JR., a Minor by His Next Friend, JOSEPH HIRAM HOLT, and JOSEPH HIRAM HOLT and ELWYNA HOLT,

Petitioners,

—versus—

THE RALEIGH CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION, a Body Corporate,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

HERMAN L. TAYLOR
SAMUEL S. MITCHELL
125 East Hargett Street
Raleigh, North Carolina

Counsel for Petitioners

Other Counsel:

JAMES R. WALKER, JR.
Weldon, North Carolina

GEORGE R. GREENE
Raleigh, North Carolina

INDEX

	PAGE
Opinion Below	1
Jurisdiction	2
Questions Presented	2
Statutes Involved	5
Statement	6
Reasons for Granting the Writ	9
1. The decisions of the Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit and of the District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina will in their ultimate effect lend their judicial weight in aid of retardation of desegregation of public schools, contrary to the intendment of the decision of this Court in *Brown* v. *Board of Education of Topeka, Kansas*	9
2. The decision of the Court of Appeals is in substantial diminution and abridgment of the fundamental right of counsel and the fundamental right of fair hearing	15
3. The decision of the Court of Appeals, in applying its version of North Carolina General Statute 115-178 to the instant case, effects a substantial discrimination between Negroes desirous of non-discriminatory education and all other public school students	16

PAGE

4. The decision of the Court of Appeals has rigidly, formalistically and prejudicially applied the doctrine of exhaustion of administrative remedies to a civil rights action 18

5. The Courts below should have found that Petitioners painstakingly exhausted all remedies known to them and at their disposal 19

CONCLUSION 20

APPENDICES

Appendix A—Judgment of the Court of Appeals 21

Appendix B—Opinion of the Court of Appeals 22

Appendix C—Judgment of the District Court 30

Appendix D—Opinion of the District Court 32

Appendix E—Portions of Respondent Board's Regulations Adopted May, 1957 64

Appendix F—North Carolina Pupil Enrollment Act of 1955 65

Appendix G—North Carolina Pupil Assignment Act of 1956, North Carolina General Statutes 115-176 through 179 68

Appendix H—Excerpts From Transcript of Evidence in the District Court 71

Appendix I—Petitioners' Complaint 95

Appendix J—Respondent's Answer 104

PAGE

FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

14th Amendment to the Federal Constitution 4, 6, 18, 19, 20

STATUTES

Federal Statutes:

Title 5, U. S. Code, Section 1005(a) 16

Title 28, U. S. Code, Section 1254(1) 2

Title 28, U. S. Code, Section 2101(c) 2

Title 42, U. S. Code, Section 1983 ..... 15, 18, 19

North Carolina Statutes:

North Carolina General Statute 115-176 ..... 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14

North Carolina General Statute 115-177 ..... 11, 12, 13

North Carolina General Statute 115-178 ..... 4, 5, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19

North Carolina General Statute 115-179 ..... 5, 6, 10, 11

The North Carolina Pupil Enrollment Act of 1955 5

CASES

United States Supreme Court Cases:

Barrows et al. v. Jackson, 346 U. S. 249, 73 S. Ct. 1031, 97 L. ed. 1586 17

Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka, Shawnee County, Kan., 1954: 347 U. S. 483, 74 S. Ct. 686, 98 L. ed. 873; 1955: 349 U. S. 294, 75 S. Ct. 753, 99 L. ed. 653 ..... 13-14, 15, 18

PAGE

Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Ry. Co. v. J. F. Polt, 232 U. S. 165, 34 S. Ct. 301, 58 L. ed. 554 10

Harrison v. St. Louis & San Francisco Ry. Co., 232 U. S. 318, 34 S. Ct. 333, 58 L. ed. 621 10

Heiner v. Donnan, 285 U. S. 312, 52 S. Ct. 358, 76 L. ed. 772 10

Home Telephone & Telegraph Co. v. City of Los Angeles, 227 U. S. 278, 33 S. Ct. 312, 57 L. ed. 510 14

John Aaron et al., Petitioners v. William G. Cooper et al., 58 U. S. 1, 78 S. Ct. 1401, 3 L. ed. 2d 3 ..... 14, 15

Montana National Bank of Billings v. Yellowstone County, 276 U. S. 499, 49 S. Ct. 331, 72 L. ed. 673 18-19

Morgan v. United States, 304 U. S. 1, 58 S. Ct. 773, 82 L. ed. 1129 16

Morrison v. California, 291 U. S. 82, 54 S. Ct. 281, 78 L. ed. 664 10

National Association for Advancement of Colored People v. State of Alabama, 357 U. S. 916, 78 S. Ct. 1163, 2 L. ed. 2d 1549 10

Ohio Bell Telephone Co. v. Public Utilities Commission, 301 U. S. 292, 57 S. Ct. 724, 81 L. ed. 1003 15-16

Oyama v. State of California, 332 U. S. 633, 68 S. Ct. 269, 92 L. ed. 249 ..... 10, 17, 18

Pacific Tel. & Tel. Co. v. Kuykendall, 265 U. S. 196, 44 S. Ct. 553, 68 L. ed. 975 18

Railroad & Warehouse Commission of the State of Minnesota v. Duluth Street Railway Co., 273 U. S. 625, 47 S. Ct. 489, 71 L. ed. 807 18

PAGE

Shelley v. Kraemer, 334 U. S. 1, 68 S. Ct. 836, 92 L. ed. 1161; 3 A. L. R. 2d 441 ..... 10, 17

Shuttlesworth et al. v. Birmingham Board of Education of Jefferson County, Ala., 358 U. S. 101, 79 S. Ct. 221, 3 L. ed. 2d 145 18

St. Louis Iron Mountain Southern Ry. Co. v. T. J. Wayne, 224 U. S. 354, 32 S. Ct. 493, 56 L. ed. 799 10

Ed Tumey v. State of Ohio, 273 U. S. 510, 47 S. Ct. 437, 71 L. ed. 749 15

United States v. Illinois Central Railroad Co., 291 U. S. 457, 54 S. Ct. 471, 78 L. ed. 909 19

Wait v. Macy, 246 U. S. 606, 38 S. Ct. 395, 62 L. ed. 862 19

Other Federal Cases:

Andrew Iannetta Funeral Home v. State Board of Undertakers of Department of Health of Pa., 27 F. Supp. 518 15

Carson et al. v. Board of Education of McDowell County, North Carolina (C. A. 4th), 227 F. 2d 789 9

Carson et al. v. Warlick (C. A. 4th), 238 F. 2d 724 9

Chester C. Fosgate Co. v. Kirland, 19 F. Supp. 152 15

Covington v. Montgomery County Board of Education, North Carolina (C. A. 4th), 264 F. 2d 780 10

Gibson et al. v. Board of Public Instruction of Dade County, Florida et al. (C. A. 5th), 246 F. 2d 913 ..... 15, 18

Holt et al. v. Raleigh City Board of Education (C. A. 4th), 265 F. 2d 95 10

Hood v. Board of Trustees of Sumter County School District No. 2, Sumter County, South Carolina (C. A. 4th), 232 F. 2d 626 9-10